

Exercises

Portfolio Optimization: Theory and Application Chapter 5 – Financial Data: Graphs

Daniel P. Palomar (2025). *Portfolio Optimization: Theory and Application*.
Cambridge University Press.

portfoliooptimizationbook.com

Exercise 5.1: Graph matrices

Consider a graph described by the following adjacency matrix:

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 6 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 9 & 0 & 7 & 3 \\ 6 & 5 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Calculate the connectivity matrix.
- Calculate the degree matrix.
- Calculate the Laplacian matrix.
- Plot the graph showing the nodes and indicating the connectivity weights.

Exercise 5.2: Laplacian matrix of a k -connected graph

Consider a graph described by the following adjacency matrix:

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 9 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Calculate the Laplacian matrix.

- b. Plot the graph and describe the graph structure.
- c. Compute the eigenvalue decomposition of the Laplacian matrix. What can be concluded from its eigenvalues?

Exercise 5.3: Adjacency matrix of a bipartite graph

Consider a graph described by the following adjacency matrix:

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 6 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 9 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- a. Calculate the Laplacian matrix.
- b. Plot the graph and describe the graph structure.
- c. Compute the eigenvalue decomposition of the adjacency matrix. What can be concluded from its eigenvalues?

Exercise 5.4: Learning graphs from similarity measures

Consider the following graph:

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 & 7 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- a. Calculate the Laplacian matrix \mathbf{L} .
- b. Generate $T = 100$ observations of a graph signal $\mathbf{x}^{(t)}$, $t = 1, \dots, T$, by drawing each realization from a zero-mean Gaussian distribution with covariance matrix equal to the Moore–Penrose matrix inverse of the Laplacian matrix \mathbf{L}^\dagger (which has inverse positive eigenvalues but keeps the same zero eigenvalues as \mathbf{L}), that is, $\mathbf{x}^{(t)} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{L}^\dagger)$.
- c. Learn the following graphs based on similarity measures:
 - thresholded distance graph
 - Gaussian graph
 - k -nearest neighbors (k -NN) graph
 - feature correlation graph.
- d. Compare the graphs in terms of Laplacian matrix error and with graph plots.

Exercise 5.5: Learning graphs from smooth signals

Consider the following graph:

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 & 7 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Calculate the Laplacian matrix \mathbf{L} .
- Generate $T = 100$ observations of a graph signal $\mathbf{x}^{(t)}$, $t = 1, \dots, T$, by drawing each realization from a zero-mean Gaussian distribution with covariance matrix equal to the Moore–Penrose matrix inverse of the Laplacian matrix \mathbf{L}^\dagger (which has inverse positive eigenvalues but keeps the same zero eigenvalues as \mathbf{L}), that is, $\mathbf{x}^{(t)} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{L}^\dagger)$.
- Learn the following graphs:
 - sparse smooth graph:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\mathbf{W}}{\text{minimize}} && \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{Z}) + \gamma \|\mathbf{W}\|_{\text{F}}^2 \\ & \text{subject to} && \text{diag}(\mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}^T \geq \mathbf{0}; \end{aligned}$$

- sparse smooth graph with hard degree control: same formulation but including the constraint $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ to control the degrees of the nodes;
 - sparse smooth graph with regularized degree control: same formulation again but now including the regularization term $-\mathbf{1}^T \log(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{1})$ to control the degrees of the nodes.
- Compare the graphs in terms of Laplacian matrix error and with graph plots.

Exercise 5.6: Learning k -component financial graphs from GRMF

- Download market data corresponding to N assets (e.g., stocks or cryptocurrencies) during a period with T observations, and form the data matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times N}$.
- Learn a sparse GMRF graph:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\mathbf{L} \geq \mathbf{0}}{\text{maximize}} && \log \text{gdet}(\mathbf{L}) - \text{Tr}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{S}) - \rho \|\mathbf{L}\|_{0,\text{off}} \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathbf{L}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}, \quad L_{ij} = L_{ji} \leq 0, \quad \forall i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

- Learn a k -component sparse GMRF graph:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\mathbf{L} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{F}}{\text{maximize}} && \log \text{gdet}(\mathbf{L}) - \text{Tr}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{S}) - \rho \|\mathbf{L}\|_{0,\text{off}} - \gamma \text{Tr}(\mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{F}) \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathbf{L}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}, \quad L_{ij} = L_{ji} \leq 0, \quad \forall i \neq j, \\ & && \text{diag}(\mathbf{L}) = \mathbf{1}, \\ & && \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{I}. \end{aligned}$$

- Plot the graphs and compare them.

Exercise 5.7: Learning heavy-tailed financial graphs

- a. Download market data corresponding to N assets (e.g., stocks or cryptocurrencies) during a period with T observations, and form the data matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times N}$.
- b. Learn a sparse GMRF graph:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\mathbf{L} \succeq \mathbf{0}}{\text{maximize}} && \log \text{gdet}(\mathbf{L}) - \text{Tr}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{S}) - \rho \|\mathbf{L}\|_{0,\text{off}} \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathbf{L}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}, \quad L_{ij} = L_{ji} \leq 0, \quad \forall i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

- c. Learn a heavy-tailed MRF graph by solving the following sequence of Gaussianized problems for $k = 1, 2, \dots$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\mathbf{L} \succeq \mathbf{0}}{\text{maximize}} && \log \text{gdet}(\mathbf{L}) - \text{Tr}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{S}^k) \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathbf{L}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}, \quad L_{ij} = L_{ji} \leq 0, \quad \forall i \neq j, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{S}^k is a weighted sample covariance matrix,

$$\mathbf{S}^k = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T w_t^k \times \mathbf{x}^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)})^\top,$$

with weights $w_t^k = \frac{\rho + \nu}{\nu + (\mathbf{x}^{(t)})^\top \mathbf{L}^k \mathbf{x}^{(t)}}$.

- d. Plot the graphs and compare.